NAME OF THE COURSE	FINANCING INFRASTRUC	CTURE PROJ	ECTS AND PPF	•		
Code	EUBD20	Level of stud	y gra	duate		
Course teacher	Associate Professor Lana Kordić, PhD Prof. Željko Mrnjavac, PhD Credits (ECTS) 5					
Associate teachers	Assistant Professor Blanka Šimundić, PhD	Type of instruction (number of h	uction	L S	Е	F
Otativa of the accuracy	ologtivo Poro		, 20	 	26	
Status of the course		application of	f e-learning			
		DESCRIPTION				
Course objectives	The main objective of the co different ways of financing p application of public-private	oublic infrastru partnerships.	cture, with partic	cular empha	sis on th	
Course enrolment requirements and entry competences required for the course	Course signature requirement Economics and Rules and F		•		-	
Learning outcomes expected at the level of the course (4 to 10 learning outcomes)	 Critically judge different ways of financing infrastructure projects. Specific learning outcomes: The student will be able to: Validate the state's role in modern society, when the infrastructure sectors face pressure to increase the quantity and quality of public services. Critically judge the characteristics, former effects and the possibilities of applying different models for managing and financing infrastructure projects. Evaluate the effects of infrastructure projects for the whole society through the application of cost-benefit analysis. Critically judge the different models of public-private partnership for the construction of public infrastructure and the provision of infrastructure services. Recommend a PPP model for public service delivery and / or construction of a material infrastructure based on the knowledge of the former effects of PPP implementation in the international environment. 					
	Lectures:		E	xercises:		
	Topic	Hours	Тој	pic	Hou	ırs
	Specifics of infrastructure a infrastructure services		Cost-benefit ar infrastructure p	roject	2	
Course content broken down in detail by weekly class schedule (syllabus)	Infrastructure to 2030 – ma findings and policy recommendations, 1. part	2	Cost-benefit ar infrastructure p	roject	2	!
	Infrastructure to 2030 – ma findings and policy recommendations, 2. part	ain 2	Cost-benefit ar infrastructure p		2	
	What are Public-Private Partnerships (PPP)?	2	Cost-benefit ar	roject	2	
	Development of public-prive partnership	rate 2	Cost-benefit ar infrastructure p		2	

	Public-private pa	rtnership	– for	,	,	Cost-bene	fit analysis of		2	
	and against			infrastructi		infrastructi	ure project		2	
	Models of PPP – in				fit analysis of		2			
	construction, 1. part					infrastructi				
		lodels of PPP – in			fit analysis of		2			
	construction, 2. p				infrastruct					
	Models od PPP -	- mirastru	ucture	2	2	infrastructi	fit analysis of		2	
		services, 1. part Models od PPP – infrastructure				fit analysis of				
		ervices, 2. part		infras	infrastructi			2		
						fit analysis of				
	worldwide		2		2	infrastructi			2	
	Public-private pa	rtnership	in	2			fit analysis of		2	
	Croatia			intra		astructure project				
	Guest lecture	Guest lecture			2		fit analysis of		2	
						infrastructi	ure project			
	X lectures	orkehono					assignments			
Format of	excercises	A seminars and workshops			multimedia					
Format of instruction	☐ on line in entire	ıtv.				laboratory				
mstruction	X partial e-learning	-				work with m	entor			
	☐ field work	9			Χç	guest lecture	Э			
		rticinatio	n							
Student	Active in-class participation.The requirements for a signature are: minimmum attendance of 70% of lecturing									
responsabilities	hours, positively evaluated financial and economics analysis in CBA together with									
	the participation o									
Screening student	Class attendance	0,9	Resea	rch			Practical			
work (name the		ECTS	Nesca	ICII			traning			
proportion of ECTS	Experimental		Report			1,1	(Other)			
credits for each	work					ECTS				
activity so that the total number of	Essay		Semina essay	aı			(Other)			
ECTS credits is equal to the ECTS	Tests	2 ECTS	Oral ex	kam	1	1 ECTS	(Other)			
value of the course)	Written exam	1 ECTS	Project	t		1 ECTS	(Other)			
	During the semester students write two tests, participate in group works and work									
	on project assignment.									
	• The 50% of a test has to be given correctly to achieve positive grade. The final									
	exam is not compulsory if the two midterm tests are passed and if the positive grade of the work on project has been achieved.									
	• The evaluation table on tests/written exam: 50-64: pass (2), 65-79-fair (3); 80-89:									
Grading and	good (4); 90-100: excellent (5);									
evaluating student	• The final grade for students who have passed through tests is formed as follows:									
work in class and at the final exam	1. test*0,25 + 2.test*0,25 + work on project assignment*0,25 + evaluation of									
the iniai chaili	project*0,25 = final sore (max 100)									
	• Final exam dates are defined in the calendar of exams. The exam consists of written and oral form (ratio 50:50). Positively evaluated written exam is a									
	prerequisite for the oral exam.									
		• The final grade for students who take the final exam is formed as follows : written								
	exam*0.25 + oral									
	project*0,25 = fina									
		,					Number of	A ''	h:11:4	
Required literature	Title			copies in		bility via				
(available in the							the library	otne	r media	
							y			

library and via other	Selected chapters of these books:		Moodle
media)	Bult-Spiering, M., Dewult, G., 2008. Strategic Issues		
	in Public-private Partnership, An international		
	perspective, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.		
	European Comission, 2014. Guide to Cost-benefit		Moodle
	Analysis of Investment Projects 20142020., EK,		
	Brussels.		N 4 II -
	OECD, 2010. Infrastructure to 2030 - Vol. 2,		Moodle
	Mapping Policy for Electricity, Water and Transport, OECD.		
	Yescombe, E. R., 2007. Public-private partnership,		Moodle
	Principles of Policy and Finance, Elsevier.		
	PDF materials from the lectures.		Moodle
Optional literature (at the time of submission of study programme proposal)	 Fight, A., 2006. Introduction to project finance Grigg, N. S., 2010. Infrastructure Finance, The Sustainable Future, Wiley, New Jersey. Hodge, G. and Greve, C. (edited by.), 2005. The partnership, Learning from International Experies Limited, Cheltenham, UK. Tan, W., 2007. Principles of Project and Infrastrancis Group, London and New York. Selected articles. Some of the articles: Kordić, L., Mrnjavac, Žinvestment in health, in Romina Pržiklas Druž Pavelić, S. (eds.) 2021. Novel perspective medicine and healthcare systems, Kordić, Itroškova studiranja na potražnju za uslu Ekonomska misao i praksa, Vol. 27, No. 2, 3 Ž., Kordić, L., 2018. Tourism infrastructure, redevelopment, Tourism and Hospitality Manag 62.; Kordić, L., Šimundić, B., 2017. Health to the efficiency of special hospitals and na Conference CHALLENGES OF EUROPE: INIT RESILIENT GROWTH AND COMPETITIVE Visković, J. (ed.), Faculty of Economics in Sp. Croatia, 417-432.; Arnerić, J., Kordić, L. (2017 to the Effectiveness of Health Care Provis International Symposium on operational rese Kordić, L. (2017) Ownership versus efficiency of health systems, 3th Dubrovnik International Relevant web sites. Registering students' attendance and success in 	e Business of the Challenge once, Edward Ince, Edward Ince, Edward Ince, Edward Ince, Skare, in economics and Edward Special S	Infrastructure for of Public-Private Elgar Publishing Ince, Taylor and P., 2021. Private M. and Kraljević of personalized I., 2018. Utjecaj og obrazovanja, ić, A., Mrnjavac, ities and tourism 4, No. 1, str. 41-tia - Questioning 2th International SPONSES FOR, I., Muštra, V., 17-19 2017, Bol, of Private Sectorngs of the 14th Bled, Slovenia.; htry comparation of Meeting DIEM ment, Dubrovnik,
Quality assurance methods that ensure the acquisition of exit competences	 (lecturer). Monitoring lectures and practice sessions (Vice D Students' Performance analysis in each course (\text{V}). Student questionnaire on the quality of lecturers a (University of Split, Quality Assurance Centre). During the course will be available online question. Examination is used as an instrument to evaluate by the course lecturer. The content of exam is reat to assure compliance with the course outcomes (ean for Educa /ice Dean for E and lessons for ns for students individual cou assessed perio	tion). Education). each course selfevaluation. rse outcomes dically in order

Other (as the	1
proposer wishes to	
add)	